

Prince's Welsh panto, p6

FRIDAY 20 JUNE 1969

Spain: new facts of US military take-over plan

Kevin McGrath sertics: A little over The story came out into the open a year ago, Poeco News received a this week during the deliberations of photostat copy of what appeared to the US Foreign Relations Committee be a draft treaty for millitary occupation, prepared by the Headquarters The "scenario" of the exercises—of United States European Command. Pathfinder I and II—was that troops This gave US forces the right, in an emergency, to put down civil disturbances, make arrests, and generally act with total immunity from the civil law of the country that signed it.

signed II.

We published this document on March 22, 1968, and awaited results. As we rather expected, the Dotto-wary press of Birtain ignored it, as they have ignored of stories in the con-control of the conference of the confer

automatically")

No official denial of the authenticity
of the document was ever issued in
Britain. However, it was indicated
"off the record" that it was, at least
in large part, a forgery. We weren't
in a position to disprove that, so we
let things slide for the time being. This week a story broke in Washing ton which throws a new light of

the episode.

In the last two years, US forces in Spain have carried out two joint training exercises in which they played the role of suppressors of a domestic rebellion. This was revealed when two Senate investigators paid a trip to Spain this spring.

That "security treaty" sounds re-markably like USINCEUR OPLAN NR 106-1/U—even though the US authorities have, naturally, hurriedly announced that no such "security treaty" as the Pathfinder scenarios call for, is in existence.

They might even be telling the truth.

The provisions of the treaty from
which we published extracts are so
broad and nebulous, that any
country's government would be
reluctant to sign it, except in an acute emergency For example, it would permit the US forces to quell civil disturbances that may affect their "mission or security", even without the request of the native authorities "US forces may take such action as the US military commander deems necessary, either unilaterally or in co-operation with the government

The treaty would also give the US forces the right "to occupy any area or facility considered necessary for the accomplishment of their mission, or for their safety and continued security, as determined by US military added.)

added.)

No nation would be likely to sign such a treaty except in a dire emergency. But US commanders would have a draft ready for signing just in case. And field exercises to test out such a plan would make sense now and again.

CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP **EMERGES** IN USSR

"We in the West should remember with humility, even with shame, that the first significant crack in the Cold War front was made not by those who enjoy the formal freedom of the Western democracies, but by men who run the risk of being shot, im-prisoned, driven to become nervous caricatures of human beings." Wright Mills on the 1956 Hungarian

Roger Barnard serites: To condemn people by categories, or to punish them on account of their convictions, is subversive of the capacity of soci-ety—any society—to exist.

It is subversion that goes deeper than all efforts to supplant one government by another, for it undermines people's confidence in their capacity to associ-ate and identify with each other

regardless of social, political, or economic differences.

economic differences.

This is the vast evil represented by the increasing repression of non-conformists and dissenters in the Soviet Union. However, the report evil rights movement in the Soviet Union has now easumed organised from as im "Action Group for the Defence of Civil Rights in the USSR."

Not all the power in this world rests with armies, or with those who build and preside over ideological struc-tures designed for social control. Led by historian Peter Yakir, the Action Group comprises 15 people, supported by about 40 others. It has

continued on page 5

JOHN KEOHANE comments on the Maud report

A BUREAUCRAT'S

ost people think that local govern-ent is a boring adjunct of West-inster, whose main function it is collect rates and to see that the estbins are emptied regularly, as J. Sharpe once pointed out in a abian pamphiet. Paublic contact with the town hall usually involves some kind of restric-tion or control, and this is probably part of the reason why less than half the people eligible to vote bother to at local elections.

fourth of the nation's homes. Again, in many instantes, decisions taken by local councils' can have far more effect on people's day-lo-day lives than those taken in partiament in the partial force of the property of the pr The mass media is, of course,

expense of local government, which may also help to explain this strange disinterest of the electors. disinterest of the electors.

Last week's Redchiffe-Maud report on local government reform clearly recognises these facts. It is astonishing, therefore, that their recommendations should contain proposals which can serve only to alienate people even further from participating more fully at local levels.

would be built around a geographic concept of "province". This council would decide the long-term planning and strategy within which the second tier, i.e. the regional council, would

operate.

The provincial authority would cooperate with the government in the
planning of the economic and social
development of each province, and
the regional councils would be
responsible for raising revenue
through rates, for settling priority of
expenditure within the strategic

continued on page 4

Spain: new facts of US military take-over plan

a year ago, Peace News received a photostat copy of what appeared to be a draft treaty for military occupation, prepared by the Headquarters of United States European Command.

This gave US forces the right, in an emergency, to put down civil disturbances, make generally act with total immunity from the civil law of the country that

We published this document on March 22, 1968, and awaited results. As we rather expected, the D-noticewary press of Britain ignored it, as they have ignored stories in the continental press about this document. (Appendix 3 to Annex N Civil Affairs, of USINCEUR OPLAN NR 100-1/U, dated October 1962, and marked TOP SECRET, to be "Downgraded at 17 year intervals, not automatically")

No official denial of the authenticity of the document was ever issued in Britain. However, it was indicated "off the record" that it was, at least in large part, a forgery. We weren't in a position to disprove that, so we let things slide for the time being.

This week a story broke in Washington which throws a new light on the episode.

In the last two years, US forces in In the last two years, US lorces in Spain have carried out two joint training exercises in which they played the role of suppressors of a domestic rebellion. This was revealed when two Senate investigators paid thin to Spain this exping a a trip to Spain this spring.

The "scenario" of the exercises— Pathfinder I and II—was that troops from "Samland", acting under a "security treaty" with the host country, put down a staged rebellion.

That "security treaty" sounds remarkably like USINCEUR OPLAN NR 100-1/U—even though the US authorities have, naturally, hurriedly announced that no such "security treaty" as the Pathfinder scenarios call for is in existence call for, is in existence.

The story came out into the open this week during the deliberations of the US Foreign Relations Committee.

The "scenario" of the exercises—Pathfinder I and II—was that troops from "Samland" acting under a cutte emergency. acute emergency.

For example, it would permit the US forces to quell civil disturbances that may affect their "mission or security", even without the request of the native authorities.

"US forces may take such action as the US military commander deems necessary, either unilaterally or in co-operation with the government

of (...,).

The treaty would also give the US forces the right "to occupy any area or facility considered necessary for the accomplishment of their mission, or for their safety and continued security, as determined by US military commanders." (Emphasis added) added.)

No nation would be likely to sign such a treaty except in a dire emergency. But US commanders would have a draft ready for signing just in case. And field exercises to test out such a plan would make sense now and again.

CIVIL **RIGHTS** GROUP **EMERGES USSR**

"We in the West should remember with humility, even with shame, that the first significant crack in the Cold War front was made not by those who enjoy the formal freedom of the Western democracies, but by men who run the risk of being shot, imprisoned, driven to become nervous caricatures of human beings."

Wright Mills on the 1956 Hungarian

Roger Barnard writes: To condemn people by categories, or to punish them on account of their convictions, is subversive of the capacity of society—any society—to exist.

It is subversion that goes deeper than It is subversion that goes deeper than all efforts to supplant one government by another, for it undermines people's confidence in their capacity to associ-ate and identify with each other regardless of social, political, or economic differences.

This is the vast evil represented by the increasing repression of non-conformists and dissenters in the Soviet Union. However, the report in last Sunday's Observer that the civil rights movement in the Soviet Union has now assumed organised form, as an "Action Group for the Defence of Civil Rights in the USSR", is an indication of the other side of is an indication of the other side of the coin.

Not all the power in this world rests with armies, or with those who build and preside over ideological structures designed for social control.

Led by historian Peter Yakir, the Action Group comprises 15 people, supported by about 40 others. It has

continued on page 5